

New SUVs Ratings

(as of September 2026)

 CR GREEN CHOICE



WORSE

BETTER

	Make & Model	Overall Score	Ratings & Test Results				Highs	Lows
CR Recommended			Road Test Score	CR's Overall Mileage	Predicted Reliability	Predicted Owner Satisfaction		
✓	2026 Subaru Crosstrek Hybrid	90	91	35 mpg	⬆	⬆	Refined power delivery, comfortable ride, excellent fuel economy, predictable handling, standard all-wheel drive, airy outward visibility.	Laggy infotainment screen, relatively small mpg improvement over the regular Crosstrek, long wet stopping distance for the class.
✓	2026 Subaru Crosstrek	83	87	29 mpg	⬆	⬆	Comfortable ride, strong fuel economy, short stopping distances, standard all-wheel drive, airy outward visibility.	Laggy infotainment screen.
✓	2026 Toyota Corolla Cross Hybrid	75	84	41 mpg	⬇	⬇	Fantastic fuel economy, short braking distances, standard all-wheel drive.	Loud cabin, cheap interior.
✓	2026 Toyota Corolla Cross	73	72	28 mpg	⬆	⬇	Fuel economy, braking, cabin access.	Noisy, feels underpowered, lacks agility, mediocre fit and finish.
✓	2026 Nissan Kicks	72	67	27 mpg	⬆	⬇	User-friendly controls, short stopping distances, easy to maneuver into tight parking spots, secure handling.	Harsh ride, noisy cabin, slow acceleration.
✓	2026 Mazda CX-30	72	70	27 mpg	⬇	⬇	Fit and finish, fuel economy, handling agility.	Controls, engine noise, tight quarters, visibility.
✓	2026 Kia Seltos	72	77	28 mpg	⬇	⬇	Fuel economy, braking, controls, visibility.	Ride, noise.
✓	2026 Honda HR-V	71	73	27 mpg	⬇	⬇	Straightforward controls, strong fuel economy, roomy interior for its size.	Stiff ride, noisy cabin, slow acceleration.
✓	2026 Ford Bronco Sport	70	73	25 mpg	⬆	⬇	Agility, braking, user-friendly controls, character.	Engine vibration at low revs, limited driver's seat adjustments, ride.
✓	2026 Buick Encore GX	69	71	26 mpg	⬇	⬇	Strong mid-range acceleration, braking.	Engine noise and vibration, rear seat.
	2026 Chevrolet Trailblazer	67	70	27 mpg	⬇	⬇	Interior room, mid-range torque, fuel economy, controls.	Rear and side visibility, agility, front-seat comfort.
	2026 Hyundai Kona	64	76	26 mpg	⬇	⬇	Easy to get in and out of, short braking distances, user-friendly controls.	Loud and buzzy engine, tepid acceleration with the standard engine.
	2026 Mitsubishi Eclipse Cross	59	65	24 mpg	⬇	⬇	Access, rear seat room.	Agility, visibility, front-seat comfort, acceleration, cargo space.
	2026 Volkswagen Taos	57	82	26 mpg	⬇	⬇	Agile handling, generous rear seat room, airy outward views, upscale features in the SE.	Distracting capacitive-touch climate controls.
	2027 Kia Seltos	IN TEST	NA	NA	⬇	⬇		
	2026 Mitsubishi Outlander Sport	CR'S TAKE	NA	NA	⬇	NA		

HOW TO READ THE RATINGS

Recommended vehicles, indicated with a check mark (✓), are the models with the highest Overall Scores that meet the threshold in their category.

Make + Model reflect the vehicle we tested and its engine displacement.

Overall Score reflects a vehicle's performance in our road tests; the latest results from the reliability and owner satisfaction sections of CR's exclusive Annual Auto Surveys; the availability of frontal crash prevention systems with forward collision warning, automatic emergency braking, and pedestrian detection, along with blind spot warning; and, if available, results from government

and insurance-industry crash tests.

Survey Results reflect findings from CR's Annual Auto Surveys, completed by Consumer Reports members.

Predicted reliability is our determination of how well a model will hold up, based on the problems that members reported in CR's Annual Auto Surveys, which include data on around 420,000 vehicles.

Owner satisfaction is based on the percentage of surveyed owners who said they would definitely buy the same car again. We use a model's latest three years of data to determine the prediction, provided it hasn't been redesigned or significantly updated. In cases where we have insufficient survey responses, or when a model is all-new or redesigned, we use our expert judgment

based on brand track record and similar models to predict reliability and owner-satisfaction ratings.

Road-Test Results include CR's test findings that we feel are the most relevant. We buy and test between 50 and 60 vehicles each year, driving them for thousands of miles and putting them through more than 50 tests and evaluations. The results of these tests make up our road-test score. Some tests, such as those for braking and fuel economy, are measured with instruments; categories such as seat and ride comfort, noise, and fit and finish are graded by our experts. The Usability rating is a combination of our testers' assessments of the ease of performing everyday driving tasks, as well as cockpit ergonomics and interface design. The energy consumption of electric vehicles is expressed in a miles-per-gallon equivalent rating (MPGe). Energy consumption for plug-in hybrids is

listed in electric and gas modes.

Highs and lows give a quicksummary of a model's notable strengths and weaknesses.

Why Some Vehicles Are Not Rated Certain models have been redesigned or extensively freshened since our last test, or are new.

What Our Ratings Symbols Mean

 GREEN CHOICE



WORSE

BETTER

 LEARN

Go to [CR.org/newcarbuyingguide](#) for advice on whether you should buy or lease your next new car, and other car shopping tips.